

New Potential of Low-Frequency
Radionavigation in the 21st Century
By Wouter J. Pelgrum

NavtechGPS Part #2455
ISBN: 978-90-811198-1-8

GPS (Global Positioning System) has enabled accurate, affordable, and almost ubiquitous positioning and timing. The ever-improving performance of GPS has long fueled the thought that GPS — and GPS alone — was to be the designated future of radio positioning and timing. The 2001 Volpe study, and later the 2004 proposed ERNP (European Radio Navigation Plan), stated otherwise: although very accurate, GPS and other satellite navigation systems are not considered reliable enough to be used as the sole-means for safety, environmental, and/or economically critical applications. Those critical applications need a backup system with dissimilar failure modes. The solution suggested by the Volpe-report and by the ERNP-proposal is — perhaps rather surprisingly — an old and almost forgotten radionavigation system: Loran-C. This system with its high-energy, low-frequency pulses is largely dissimilar to GPS. The combination of Loran-C and GPS, therefore, has the potential to be far more robust than either system individually. However, the “official” performance of the 1958 Loran-C system is no match for the stringent requirements of most modern applications. Fortunately, this Loran performance reflects the capabilities of outdated technology rather than the foundations of low-frequency radionavigation. The following question now arises: What are the fundamental limits of low-frequency radionavigation and how do they affect potential applications? Loran-C is currently the only operational and publicly available low-frequency

Cont.

Summary

GPS (Global Positioning System) has enabled accurate, affordable, and almost ubiquitous positioning and timing. This has not only resulted in its wide spread usage, increased popularity, and numerous new applications, but it has also resulted in an increased dependency of the Global Navigation Satellite Systems. The ever-improving performance of GPS has long fueled the thought that GPS — and GPS alone — was to be the designated future of radio positioning and timing. The 2001 Volpe study, and later the 2004 proposed ERNP (European Radio Navigation Plan), stated otherwise: although very accurate, GPS and other satellite navigation systems are not considered reliable enough to be used as the sole-means for safety, environmental, and/or economically critical applications. Those critical applications need a backup system with dissimilar failure modes. The solution suggested by the Volpe-report and by the ERNP-proposal is — perhaps rather surprisingly — an old and almost forgotten radionavigation system: Loran-C. This system with its high-energy, low-frequency pulses is largely dissimilar to GPS. The combination of Loran-C and GPS, therefore, has the potential to be far more robust than either system individually. However, the “official” performance of the 1958 Loran-C system is no match for the stringent requirements of most modern applications. Fortunately, this Loran performance reflects the capabilities of outdated technology rather than the foundations of low-frequency radionavigation. The following question now arises:

What are the fundamental limits of low-frequency radionavigation and how do they affect potential applications?

Loran-C is currently the only operational and publicly available low-frequency radionavigation system with regional coverage. This dissertation, therefore, primarily focuses on Loran-C although most results will also be applicable to other low-frequency radionavigation systems. Chapter 2 introduces the system details of Loran-C.

The search for the fundamental limits begins by identifying the potential error sources. Chapter 3 contains a thorough system analysis, starting with the transmitter, and covering propagation, antenna, receiver algorithms, and concluding with calculated position and time.

Low-frequency ground waves experience delays as a function of ground conductivity, topography, seasons, and weather. These propagation delays can cause significant position errors if left uncompensated. Chapter 4 discusses the use of a differential reference station to compensate for the temporal fluctuations in propagation. A spatial correction map further reduces the propagation related positioning errors. The resulting positioning accuracy is potentially sufficient for such situations as the stringent 20 meter, 95% accuracy requirement of the maritime Harbor Entrance and Approach procedure.

Chapter 5 pays special attention to the H-field antenna; the chapter discusses error sources such as noise, E-field susceptibility, tuning, and cross talk thoroughly, as well as novel mitigation techniques and their successful implementation.

Chapter 6 discusses various measurement campaigns that bring the presented theory into practice. Throughout the Ph.D. research, the author of this work developed a highly accurate measurement system. The Reeuwijk measurements show the first step with precise dual-difference measurements; both the temporal domain and the spatial domain reveal local propagation effects. The land-mobile measurement campaign in Boston expands the measurement setup further. Simultaneous measurement of both E-field and H-field took place there allowing unprecedented analysis of re-radiation and an assessment of the applicability of low-frequency radionavigation in a land-mobile environment. The introduction of differential corrections and H-field antenna calibration for the Tampa Bay campaign resulted in an unprecedented measurement performance. Chapter 6 also shows the effect of bridges on positioning performance quantitatively, and presents the successful results of a unique re-radiation detection algorithm. This algorithm enables detection of local disturbances allowing a timely warning of potential erroneous position information. Finally, Chapter 6 shows the achievement of a positioning performance of better than 10 meters with 95% confidence during a realistic “Harbor Entrance and Approach” (HEA) scenario.

This dissertation concludes with an assessment of the potential of low-frequency radionavigation, based on the results of the author’s Ph.D. research combined with his personal views.

Wouter J. Pelgrum
Delft, November 2006

Contents

Summary	v
1 Introduction	1
1.1 GNSS: <i>almost</i> perfect	2
1.2 LF radionavigation in the 21 st century	4
1.3 Research question	6
1.4 Outline of dissertation	6
1.5 References	7
2 Loran System Description	9
2.1 Loran history	10
2.2 eLoran	11
2.3 Loran System Characteristics	11
2.3.1 Loran-C system performance	12
2.3.2 eLoran system performance	12
2.3.3 Coverage	13
2.4 Loran-C Signal in Space definition	14
2.4.1 Pulse shape	14
2.4.2 System timing	16
2.4.3 Positioning	17
2.4.4 Data communication	17
2.5 Transmitter	18
2.6 Propagation	20
2.7 Noise and interference	21
2.8 Receiver	22
2.9 References	23
3 Loran-C Error Model	25
3.1 From pseudorange to position	26
3.1.1 Geometry	26
3.1.2 Repeatable accuracy	27
3.1.3 Absolute accuracy	27
3.2 Overview of error model	28
3.3 Transmitter timing	28
3.3.1 SAM control	28
3.3.2 TOT control	30
3.3.3 UTC	34
3.3.4 Internal timing control	35
3.4 LF propagation	36
3.4.1 Ground wave versus sky wave	36
3.4.2 Spatial variations	41

3.4.3	Modeling ground-wave propagation.....	43
3.4.4	Temporal variations.....	51
3.4.5	Propagation measurements.....	52
3.5	Re-radiation.....	53
3.5.1	Modeling of re-radiation.....	54
3.5.2	Sensor response in a re-radiation environment.....	56
3.5.3	Observability of re-radiation.....	57
3.5.4	Re-radiation correction.....	61
3.6	Noise and Interference.....	64
3.6.1	Atmospheric noise.....	64
3.6.2	Continuous wave interference.....	72
3.6.3	Cross-rate interference.....	78
3.6.4	Local interference.....	86
3.7	LF radionavigation antenna.....	94
3.7.1	Noise.....	94
3.7.2	Heading-dependent errors.....	94
3.7.3	Heading-independent errors.....	95
3.8	Summary and discussion.....	95
3.9	References.....	97
4	dLoran.....	101
4.1	Introduction.....	102
4.2	dLoran error model.....	103
4.3	Reference station.....	106
4.3.1	Absolute time.....	106
4.3.2	Re-radiation detection.....	107
4.3.3	Integration time.....	108
4.3.4	Data channel.....	108
4.4	ASF-Map.....	109
4.4.1	Coverage.....	109
4.4.2	Accuracy.....	112
4.4.3	E-field versus H-field.....	114
4.4.4	Integrity.....	114
4.5	Spatial decorrelation of temporal corrections.....	114
4.6	Discussion.....	117
4.7	References.....	118
5	Low-Frequency H-field Antenna.....	119
5.1	Introduction.....	120
5.1.1	E-field antenna.....	120
5.1.2	H-field antenna.....	121
5.1.3	E-field versus H-field.....	123
5.2	Description of an H-field sensor.....	124
5.2.1	Equivalent circuit.....	124
5.2.2	μ_{rod}	125
5.2.3	F_A and K	126

5.2.4	R_{loss}	127
5.3	Topology	128
5.3.1	Resonance configuration.....	128
5.3.2	Wideband configuration.....	129
5.3.3	Wideband versus resonance.....	130
5.4	Noise	131
5.4.1	Desired antenna noise performance	131
5.4.2	Receiver noise analysis for resonance topology.....	132
5.4.3	Equivalent receiver-noise field-strength.....	135
5.4.4	Orthogonal design.....	136
5.4.5	H-field sensor implementation	137
5.5	E-field susceptibility	142
5.5.1	E-field susceptibility measurements.....	143
5.5.2	E-field susceptibility compensation	146
5.5.3	Balancing	146
5.5.4	Shielding	148
5.5.5	Rod construction	149
5.6	Tuning errors in a resonant H-field antenna	150
5.6.1	Temperature coefficient of tuning capacitance.....	150
5.6.2	Temperature coefficient of antenna inductance	151
5.6.3	Temperature coefficient of the active part.....	153
5.6.4	Measurement and calibration of tuning errors.....	153
5.6.5	Common-mode versus differential-mode TOA errors	155
5.7	Obtaining an omni-directional radiation pattern	156
5.7.1	Quadrature addition	157
5.7.2	Linear addition	160
5.8	Cross talk	161
5.8.1	Characterization of cross talk	163
5.8.2	Laboratory measurements of cross-talk parameters.....	163
5.8.3	Compensation for cross talk.....	168
5.8.4	Field measurements and compensation of cross-talk parameters.....	169
5.9	Discussion	175
5.10	References	176
6	LF Propagation Measurements	179
6.1	Introduction	180
6.2	Reeuwijk measurements	180
6.2.1	Spatial repeatability re-radiation measurements.....	181
6.2.2	Temporal stability re-radiation measurements.....	187
6.3	Boston highway measurements	190
6.3.1	Measurement setup	191
6.3.2	Cloverleaf measurements	193
6.3.3	Measurements 495 south.....	203
6.3.4	Discussion	211
6.4	Tampa Bay measurements	213

6.4.1	Measurement setup	214
6.4.2	Reference station analysis.....	221
6.4.3	Measurements Tampa Bay area.....	223
6.4.4	Creation of ASF correction maps.....	225
6.4.5	E-field versus H-field in the far-field.....	230
6.4.6	Re-radiation	231
6.4.7	HEA dLoran showcase.....	243
6.4.8	Discussion	246
6.5	General conclusions and recommendations.....	248
6.6	References	250
7	Conclusions, Discussion, and Recommendations	251
7.1	Conclusions	252
7.1.1	Loran-C System.....	252
7.1.2	Transmitter.....	253
7.1.3	Receiver.....	253
7.1.4	Interference	254
7.1.5	Far-field propagation	255
7.1.6	Near-field propagation.....	255
7.1.7	In summary	256
7.2	Discussion	256
7.2.1	Applications.....	256
7.2.2	Is Loran-C the optimal LF radionavigation system?	262
7.3	Recommendations.....	265
7.4	References	267
	List of Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	269
	Appendix A RNP Definitions.....	273
	Appendix B Applications and Their Requirements.....	277
	Appendix C Timeline	285
	Appendix D Related Publications and Presentations by the Author.....	287
	Curriculum Vitae.....	291
	Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)	293
	Acknowledgements.....	295